IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 21645 of 1999

Between:

R.V.S.C.V.S. High School, Chilakaluripeta, Guntur Dist
rep.by its Secretary and Correspondent, Rachamallu Srirama Murthy,
S/o.Hanumantha Rao, R/o.Chilakaluripeta, Guntur Dist

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary to Government
Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 The Commissioner and Director of School Education, A.P., Saifabad,

Hyderabad
3 The District Educational Officer, Guntur, Guntur Dist

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the fixation of the maintenance grant at 2% of basic pay of teaching grant as arbitrary, illegal, irrational, discriminatory and have no nexus to the object of paying maintenance grant at such rate so as to meet the expenditure incurred by the management for the purposes enumerated in the grant in aid code and further direct the respondents to pay all the arrears of maintenance grant at the rate of 6% of the teaching grant.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.S.CHANDRASEKHAR AZAD (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: G.P. FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.21645 OF 1999

ORDER:

The Writ Petition was listed on 17.9.2004. When the case was called, learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation. Hence, the writ petition was directed to be listed for dismissal today. Today also, though the writ petition is listed high up in the list under the caption for dismissal, and though the cause list was circulated to the learned counsel well in advance on 19.9.2004, the learned counsel for the petitioner is absent and there is no representation. The writ petition is therefore dismissed for default/non-prosecution.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

22.9.2004

bnr

To

1 The Secretary to Government
Education Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad
2 The Commissioner and Director of School Education,

Government of Andhra Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Saifabad,

Hyderabad
3 The District Educational Officer, Guntur, Guntur Dist

4. 2 CCs to G.P.for School Education, High Court Bldgs.,

Hyderabad (OUT)

5. 2CD copies